

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings are formal drawings which replace the original sheets of drawings filed on November 20, 2003.

Attachment: Replacement Sheets

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The present application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action mailed November 16, 2005. Claims 1-6 and 8-32 are currently pending. Reconsideration of the present application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Initially, the Examiner notes that the Declaration filed April 1, 2004, indicates that the instant application is a Design application. The Applicant respectfully submits that a new Declaration is being filed concurrently with a "Request for Correction of Inventorship".

The drawings have been objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR §1.121(d) because the drawings submitted at the time of filing were informal.

Applicants submit herewith a set of formal drawing in conformance with 37 CFR §1.121(d). Accordingly, Applicants submit that the objection to the drawings under 37 CFR §1.121(d) has been overcome and should be withdrawn.

The specification has been amended herein in order to properly identify the reference made to the co-pending application.

Claims 1 and 14 were provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 37 and 52, respectively, of co-pending Application No. 10/959,824. Applicants submit that based on the amendments made in co-pending Application No. 10/959,824, that the rejection of claims 1 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 101 has been overcome and/or rendered moot.

Claims 1-32 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-71 of co-pending Application No. 10/959,824.

In response to the rejection of claims 1-32 under the nonstatutory ground of obviousness-type double patenting, Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate the double patenting rejection over the cited art of reference. Accordingly, in view of the submission of the Terminal Disclaimer, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of claims 1-32 under the nonstatutory ground of obviousness-type double patenting, has been overcome.

Should the Examiner believe that a telephone interview may facilitate prosecution of this application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone Applicant's undersigned representative at the number indicated below.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the application and allowance of claims 1-6 and 8-32 is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Francesco Sardone
Reg. No. 47,918
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Carter, DeLuca, Farrell & Schmidt, LLP
445 Broad Hollow Rd., Suite 225
Melville, New York 11747
Phone: (631) 501-5700
Fax: (631) 501-3526
FS/PMG/dag
Enclosure